

available at www.sciencedirect.com







Editorial Comment

Shorter fractionation schedules in breast cancer radiotherapy: Clinical and economic implications

Mariella Mannino, John R. Yarnold*

Academic Radiotherapy Unit, The Institute of Cancer Research and The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust, Downs Road, Sutton, SM2 5PT, UK

ARTICLEINFO

Article history:
Received 20 January 2009
Accepted 21 January 2009
Available online 23 February 2009

An accumulating body of level I evidence from randomised trials of adjuvant radiotherapy in early breast cancer supports the safety and efficacy of giving a lower total dose in fewer larger fractions (hypofractionation) than the historical standard of 50 Gy in 25 fractions of 2.0 Gy over 5 weeks.¹⁻⁴ The published phase 3 trials relate to patients prescribed whole breast radiotherapy, but the principle is being extended to trials evaluating partial breast irradiation restricted to the tumour bed.5-11 Interest in hypofractionation is based not only on the practical advantages to patients and health services of fewer hospital visits, but also on two postulated clinical benefits. The first is that breast cancer is more sensitive to fraction size than formerly thought, so that fewer larger fractions maintain current levels of anti-tumour effect without increasing late adverse effects. The second is that shorter overall treatment times (accelerated hypofractionation) may be more effective in patients with rapidly proliferating tumours.

The use of accelerated schedules had been resisted until recently by memory of severe late toxicities after hypofractionated schedules based on misapplication of dose algorithms in the 1960s and 1970s. Since then, approximately 8000 patients have been enrolled in five randomised trials testing hypofractionated radiotherapy to the whole breast,

and the clinical outcome of over 7000 of these has been reported in recent years. 1-4 The majority of patients were enrolled in the two UK Standardisation of Breast Radiotherapy (START) Trials of which the 5-year results were published last year: trial A² tested two 13-fraction (3.2 Gy to 41.6 Gy or 3.0 Gy to 39 Gy) schedules against 50 Gy in 25 fractions, with each arm delivered over 5 weeks; trial B1 compared 40 Gy in 15 fractions delivered in 3 weeks with the same control arm as trial A. After 5.1 years of follow up, rates of local-regional tumour relapse at 5 years did not differ significantly in the three arms of trial A. Photographic evaluation and patient self-assessment of late normal tissue effects showed no difference between the control arm and the 41.6 Gy arm, while suggesting significantly lower rates of late toxicity after 39 Gy than after 50 Gy. In trial B, after a median follow up of 6.0 years, the rate of local-regional tumour relapse at 5 years did not differ significantly in the two groups either, being 3.3% (95% CI 2.2-4.5) in the control arm and 2.2% (95% CI 1.3-3.1) in the experimental arm. Photographic evaluation and patient self-assessment suggested lower rates of late normal tissue effects after the 3 week regimen than after the 5 week regimen. The remaining patients were enrolled in two prospective randomised trials, the Royal Marsden NHS

Foundation Trust/Gloucestershire Oncology Centre (RMH/ GOC) trial³ and the Canadian trial.⁴ The former tested two dose levels (39 Gy and 42.9 Gy) of a 13-fraction regimen over 5 weeks against 50 Gy in 25 fractions; the latter tested a 16fraction regimen over 3.2 weeks against the same control arm. Findings of both trials were consistent with those of the START trials. Therefore, results published to date of randomised trials testing accelerated whole breast radiotherapy in early breast cancer are all concordant in supporting the introduction of 3-week schedules in clinical practice. Furthermore, in a cost-comparative analysis of various radiotherapy treatment courses, performed in the US, the 16-fraction schedule had a total cost of \$ 6100, while the 25-fraction regimen had a total cost of \$8500.13 Differences in the organisation and funding of health systems between countries make generalisations difficult, but savings in costs of treatment delivery are closely related to fraction number.

Accelerated regimens have found immediate acceptance for partial breast irradiation based on the assumption that a reduction in the treated volume counterbalances unexpected adverse effects of increased fraction size on normal tissue reactions. Since 2000, seven randomised trials testing accelerated partial breast irradiation have been launched, with an overall accrual target of almost 16,000 patients. In all experimental arms, number of fractions and overall treatment time are reduced compared to commonly used breast radiotherapy regimens: two use a single fraction, ^{5,6} four deliver 10 fractions twice-daily over a period of 5 to 10 days, ^{7–9,11} and one uses a 3-week schedule. ¹⁰ Most of these trials are still recruiting; therefore, a reliable analysis of the outcome of the majority of patients participating in them will not be available for another 5 to 10 years.

While most radiotherapy centres in the UK and Canada have routinely adopted 15 or 16-fraction regimens for postoperative whole breast irradiation in early breast cancer, present and future research is aimed at evaluating safety and efficacy of 5-fraction schedules. Currently in follow up is the UK National Cancer Research Network (NCRN) FAST trial, in which 900 patients were randomised to receive 5 weekly fractions of 5.7 Gy or 6.0 Gy versus 25 daily fractions of 2.0 Gy. Around the same time, a pilot study tested in a group of 30 patients the safety, in terms of acute normal tissue reactions, of another 5-fraction schedule delivering 30 Gy in twice-weekly 6 Gy fractions over a period of 15 days. 14 Results of this study were recently published showing very mild early normal tissue responses and 2-year rates of changes in breast appearance comparable with those reported after 50 Gy in 25 fractions. The consequent logical research objective is testing a 5-fraction schedule delivered in 5 consecutive days. This proposal appears to be feasible especially in an era when routine use of optimisation of dose distribution and cardiac shielding guarantees a very low incidence of normal tissue reactions.

Conflict of interest statement

None declared.

REFERENCES

- Bentzen SM, Agrawal RK, Aird EG, et al. The UK Standardisation of Breast Radiotherapy (START) Trial B of radiotherapy hypofractionation for treatment of early breast cancer: a randomised trial. Lancet 2008;371:1098–107.
- 2. Bentzen SM, Agrawal RK, Aird EG, et al. The UK Standardisation of Breast Radiotherapy (START) Trial A of radiotherapy hypofractionation for treatment of early breast cancer: a randomised trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2008;9:331–41.
- 3. Owen JR, Ashton A, Bliss JM, et al. Effect of radiotherapy fraction size on tumour control in patients with early-stage breast cancer after local tumour excision: long-term results of a randomised trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2006;7:467–71.
- Whelan T, MacKenzie R, Julian J, et al. Randomized trial of breast irradiation schedules after lumpectomy for women with lymph node-negative breast cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 2002:94:1143–50.
- 5. Orecchia R, Ciocca M, Lazzari R, et al. Intraoperative radiation therapy with electrons (ELIOT) in early-stage breast cancer. Breast 2003;12:483–90.
- Vaidya JS, Baum M, Tobias JS, Houghton J. Lancet. Targeted intraoperative radiotherapy; 1999. http://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/misc/protocol/99PRT-47.
- 7. Strnad V, Polgar C. On behalf of the European Brachytherapy Breast Cancer GEC-ESTRO Working Group. GEC-ESTRO APBI Trial: interstitial brachytherapy alone versus external beam radiation therapy after breast conserving surgery for low risk invasive carcinoma and low risk duct carcinoma in-situ (DCIS) of the female breast; 2006. http://www.apbi.uni-erlangen.de/outline/outline.html>.
- 8. Wolmark N, Curran WJ. Trial protocol March 13, 2007. On behalf of NSABP and RTOG of the American College of Radiology (ACR). NSABP Protocol B-39. RTOG Protocol 0413. A randomized phase III study of conventional whole breast irradiation versus partial breast irradiation for women with stage 0, I, or II breast cancer. National surgical adjuvant breast and bowel project (NSABP); 2007. p. 1–132.
- OCOG. Ontario Clinical Oncology Group (OCOG), Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR), Canadian Breast Cancer Research Alliance. RAPID: Randomized Trial of Accelerated Partial Breast Irradiation; 2008. http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00282035.
- 10. Yarnold J, Coles C. Trial Protocol, version 4, 19.02.2008. On behalf of the IMPORT LOW Trial Management Group: Intesity Modulated and Partial Organ Radiotherapy. Randomised trial testing intensity modulated and partial organ radiotherapy following breast conservation surgery for early breast cancer. Sutton, Surrey, UK: The Institute of Cancer Research: Royal Cancer Hospital; 2008. p. 1–74.
- 11. http://www.irmatrial.it/.
- 12. Overgaard M, Bentzen SM, Christensen JJ, Madsen EH. The value of the NSD formula in equation of acute and late radiation complications in normal tissue following 2 and 5 fractions per week in breast cancer patients treated with postmastectomy irradiation. Radiother Oncol 1987;9:1–11.
- Suh WW, Pierce LJ, Vicini FA, Hayman JA. A cost comparison analysis of partial versus whole-breast irradiation after breast-conserving surgery for early-stage breast cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2005;62:790–6.
- Martin S, Mannino M, Rostom A, et al. Acute toxicity and 2year adverse effects of 30 Gy in five fractions over 15 days to whole breast after local excision of early breast cancer. Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol) 2008;20:502-5.